

VOL. 113, 2024



DOI: 10.3303/CET24113056

Guest Editors: Jeng Shiun Lim, Bohong Wang, Guo Ren Mong, Petar S. Varbanov Copyright © 2024, AIDIC Servizi S.r.l. ISBN 979-12-81206-14-4; ISSN 2283-9216

State-of-the-Art Microplastic Sampling Sources, Identification Approaches and Possible Transformation to Wealth

Yi Ka Fong^a, Hong Yee Kek^a, Huiyi Tan^b, Mohd Hafiz Dzarfan Othman^c, Pau Chung Leng^d, Syie Luing Wong^e, Keng Yinn Wong^{a,*}

^aFaculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor, Malaysia
^bFaculty of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor, Malaysia
^cAdvanced Membrane Technology (Bai, Wang, & Jin), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor, Malaysia
^dFaculty of Built Environment and Surveying, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Skudai, Johor, Malaysia.
^eDepartment of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Eindhoven University of Technology, the Netherlands. kengyinnwong@utm.my

Microplastics are defined as plastic particles of different shapes and polymer compositions, ranging in size from 1µm to 5 mm. There are two types of microplastics: primary microplastics, such as microbeads added to household products, and secondary microplastics, commonly used to make bottles and plastic bags. Microplastic pollution has become a major issue affecting human health. Research suggests that once humans ingest microplastics ranging from 0.1 to 10 µm, these particles can be absorbed by the blood-brain barrier and placenta. This can potentially cause damage to the circulatory system. This study aims to review the techniques used for sampling microplastics, including passive and active sampling. The review of microplastic articles encompasses sources from both indoor and outdoor environments, such as soil, water, and air. Research suggests that individuals who consume water from plastic bottles may ingest up to 90,000 microplastic particles annually. In addition, studies conducted in indoor environments, such as houses, dormitories, and offices, indicate that an individual can ingest approximately 190 microplastic particles per day and up to 69,000 particles per year due to the high abundance of microplastics indoors (1,583 ± 1,180 particles/m³). This review also emphasizes the analytical methods employed by scientists to identify and characterize microplastics. For instance, visual observation (stereomicroscope), micro-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, laser direct infrared analysis, Raman spectroscopy, scanning electron microscopy, and mass spectrometry. Also, this review article explores the potential for transforming microplastics into valuable products through innovative recycling and upcycling methods.

1. Introduction

Microplastics (MPs) are defined as plastic particles with different shapes and polymer compositions ranging from 1 µm to 5 mm (Kek et al., 2024). There are two types of MPs such as primary MP and secondary MP. Primary MP are intentionally manufactured and incorporated into consumer products, such as the exfoliants used in synthetic clothes and microbeads added to personal care products for enhanced texture and efficacy. (Ziani et al., 2023). Synthetic fibers in clothing, which become MPs during washing processes, contribute significantly to environmental MP pollution (Hashim et al., 2023). On the other hand, secondary MP are the environmental breakdown of larger plastic items like bottles and packaging materials though weathering, fragmentation, and degradation. Common sources of secondary MPs include discarded plastic bottles, bags, and polystyrene containers from food halls and supermarkets, which fragment into smaller pieces over time. There are various shapes of MPs such as fibres, microbeads, fragments, foam, pellet, sheets and film (Marrone et al., 2021), as shown in Figure 1. The global plastic production statistics reveal significant environmental impacts, with China alone producing approximately 63.0 Mt of plastic in 2013, a figure that significantly increased when combined with other Asian countries to about 114 Mt (Ryan, 2015). Projections suggest a potential increase to 500 Mt by 2025 (Huang et al., 2021). MP pollution has become a major concern which can affect

Paper Received: 3 June 2024; Revised: 20 August 2024; Accepted: 22 October 2024

Please cite this article as: Fong Y.K., Kek H.Y., Tan H., Othman M.H.D., Leng P.C., Wong S.L., Wong K.Y., 2024, State-of-the-Art Microplastic Sampling Sources, Identification Approaches and Possible Transformation to Wealth, Chemical Engineering Transactions, 113, 331-336 DOI:10.3303/CET24113056

human health. Research shows that land-based sources contributes 80-90 % from the total number of outdoor MP pollution (Duis & Coors, 2016). These include inappropriate methods of disposal single-use-plastic such as polystyrene lunch boxes, plastic cups which are non- biodegradable in landfills. Golwala et al. (2021) indicate that from landfill plastic waste, approximately 0.31 % by weight can become airborne microplastics, equating to about 62 (\pm 23) items per kg. On the other hand, ocean-based sources only contribute 10-20 % of total number of outdoor MP pollution (Karbalaei et al., 2019). For example, releasing petrochemicals by industries to the marine ecosystems, discarding plastic monofilament and nylon lines to the sea.



Figure 1: Types and shapes of MPs and examples of it. [Retrieved from Ziani et al. (2023)]

MP pollution is not only limited to outdoor, indoor MP pollution or known as airborne MP can affect human health. For example, placing a polystyrene lunch box in a manufacturing industry with high extreme temperature conditions can lead to breakdown of the MP particles into gases such as carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide. Once inhaled, MPs ranging from 0.1 to 10 µm can cross into the bloodstream, potentially causing vascular damage (Alexander et al., 2016). In addition, studies conducted in indoor environments, such as houses, dormitories, and offices suggests that individual ingest near to 190 MP particles one day and as high as 69,000 particles per year $(1,583 \pm 1,180 \text{ particles/m}^3)$ which is significantly higher compared to outdoor exposure levels(189 ± 85 particles/m³) (Liao et al., 2021). Another research indicates that the median contribution of MP particles are as high as 1.07 × 10⁻⁷ mg/capita/day in terms of mass of air (Mohamed et al., 2021). Despite extensive research, there remains a gap in the comprehensive understanding of MP sampling and analytical methods. This paper aims to present an overview of methods used in MP sampling such as passive and active MPs sampling in various environments. It examines the array of analytical approaches used to detect MPs, comprehensively discussing the advantages and disadvantages associated with each method. This review contributes to the field by not only compiling existing methods but by critically evaluating their effectiveness in different environmental contexts, identifying potential areas for methodological enhancements. The article explores the potential of innovative recycling and upcycling methods to transform MPs into valuable products.

2. MP Assessment Approach

Assessment of MP can be divided into two main stages: sampling and analysis (Kek et al., 2024). In the sampling stage, both passive and active methods are employed. Passive methods involve the collection of MPs using naturally occurring environmental processes, such as sedimentation, while active methods require the use of specialized equipment to actively gather samples from water bodies, sediments, or biota. Following collection, the samples undergo filtration treatment to isolate pure MPs, removing other particles and contaminants for further analysis. In the analytical phase, various techniques are employed to characterize the isolated MPs, including visual observation and chemical characterization. Visual observation, for instance, allows for the quick identification and preliminary sorting of larger MPs based on size, shape, and color. However, it cannot reliably detect smaller particles. Chemical characterization methods, such as Micro-Fourier Transform Infrared (µ-FTIR) spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Laser Direct Infrared (LDIR) analysis, provide detailed information on the polymer composition of MPs.

Passive sampling is known as sampling by leaving the collecting device in a set environment for a period to allow MPs particles to accumulate naturally. To conduct this sampling method, adhesive tape, or sediment trap are left at a designated location for certain period of time ranging from days to months (Tian et al., 2017). The advantages of passive sampling is it reflects the natural distribution and accumulation of MPs over time The limitations of passive sampling method such as it is not suitable to be used in all environments such as small ponds where passive sampling not able to capture MPs particles effectively due to lack of water flow (Shao et

al., 2022). Active MP sampling methods are the sampling that involves physical collection of the samples from environment using equipment such as nets or pumps. To conduct this sampling method, researchers actively navigate through the water or sediment, collecting samples at predetermined locations or depths. The advantage of active MP sampling is it provides more control over the sampling process, allowing researchers to target specific areas or depths of interest. The suitable method to characterize MPs in terms of shape and size by using appropriate filter treatment and analytical approaches are as summarised in Table 1. After filtration, the analytical phase involves a variety of techniques to characterize the samples. These methods include visual observation, which is limited to identifying MPs larger than a size of 10 μ m, and chemical characterization that explores MPs down to much smaller sizes of 1 μ m. The functionalities, advantages, and limitations of these analytical approaches are summarized in Table 2.



Figure 2: MPs Assessment Method

| Sampling Type | Sample Method | Location/Sour ce | Filter | Analytical Approach | Shape | Size (µm) | Referenc es |
|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Passive | Dry atmospheric deposition | Outdoor (Air) | Cellulose | Fluorescene+microsco pe µRaman | Fragments | < 63 | Dris et al. (2017) |
| | Passive Sampling | | Mixed cellulose esters membrane (5 µm) | Stereomicroscope + µFTIR | Fibres | 5- 5,000 | Jenner et al. (2021) |
| | Passive Sampling | Outdoor (Sea Water) | Glass filter (0.45 μm) | µ-Raman spectroscopy | Fragments | 250– 500 | Picó and Barceló. (2019) |
| | Glass Container | Indoor (Tap Water) | Glass filter (0.45 µm) | µ-Raman spectroscopy+Visual Observation | Fragments | ≥64 | Sol et al. (2023) |
| | | Outdoor (Soil) | Sieving | Stereomicroscope + µFTIR | Fibres, pellets, fragments and films | 0–500 | Zhang et al. (2022) |
| Active | Active pump sampler | Indoor (Air) | Glass filter (1.6 µm) | FTIR+ Visual Observation | Fibres | 19– 3,948 | O'Brien et al. (2020) |
| | Active pump sampler | Outdoor (Air) | Mixed cellulose ester (0.8 µm) | SEM - EDX | Fibres | 5-20 | Li et al. (2020) |
| | Pumping | Indoor (Tap Water) | Glass filter (0.45 µm) | FTIR+ Visual Observation | Fibres | 25- >500 | Sol et al. (2023) |
| | Pumping | Outdoor (Lake Water) | Glass filter (0.45 µm) | μ-Raman spectroscopy+Visual Observation | Sheets | 11.2-50 |) Xiong, et al. (2019) |

| Analytical Approach | Objective | Advantages | Limitations | References |
|--|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Visual observation | To observe the samples with low magnification in x, y, z plane | exposed to the | Not applicable due to its inability to visualize small t environmental samples such as fibres have size thinner than 10 µm | Soltani et al. (2021) |
| Fourier Transform Infrared (µ-FTIR) | To measure the absorption of infrared radiation by MP | | Limited to MP samples that I having a size of <20 µm | : Kim et al. (2018) |
| Laser Direct Infrared (LDIR) | Provide high sensitivity allowing for the detection of amount of MPs from environmental samples | samples on surfaces or in bulk samples | PLimited to surface analysis and may not penetrate deeply in opaque samples and have limitation on detecting MP that have size (<20 µm) | |
| Raman spectroscopy | To analyse the vibration of molecules by measuring the scatter of monochromatic light | µm can be identified based on its inelastic | Long detection time for the MP sample is needed and not able to view MP samples that are < 1 µm | |
| Scanning Electron Microscopy | Provides high- resolution image of MP particles, allowing | Able to analyse the surface morphology and element composition of MP simultaneously and | Does not provide chemical composition data unless coupled with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy. High cost is | Chen et al. (2020), Fu (2020) |

Table 2: Types of analytical approach carried out to characterize the MPs

3. MP Mitigation Approach

Refill not Landfill, one of the ways to reduce the MP pollution is by advocating for the reuse of plastic bottles instead of discarding them after single use. Statistics indicate that if human embraced refilling plastic bottles, it can potentially slash up to 91,000 items/kg per year plastic bottle waste (Wojnowska-Baryła et al., 2022). Research also shows that recycling plastic waste from vehicles into graphene could prevent 350 kg of plastic waste from being landfilled (Pacchioni, 2022). There are a few methods to mitigate MP, such as closed-loop MP recycling and open-loop MP recycling. Closed-loop MP recycling is a method that collects plastic waste and remanufactures it into the same form of products (Xuehong et al., 2024). For example, collecting unused paper and remanufacturing it for the use of printing newspapers. Open loop MP recycling means that converting the waste product to a new raw material using different type of manufacturing process (Xiang and You, 2024). For example, converting plastic bottles waste into straw by applying pyrolysis process. Another avenue to curb MP waste is by converting them into valuable products. The current products produced through the upcycling of MPs are illustrated in Figure 3. MP can be repurposed as anodes in Na-ion batteries, exhibiting a high reversible capacity of 340 mAh/g at a current rate of 0.01 A/g (Tan et al., 2024). MP waste can be converted into biofuel through thermodegradation method, achieving an impressive maximum carbon conversion efficiency of 97 % within 1 h under operating conditions of 800 °C (Bai et al., 2020). Recycling MP waste into electrospun fibers offers a sustainable method to create high-performance materials for various applications, including filtration, textiles, and biomedical devices (Li et al., 2022). Upcycling MP waste into construction materials enhances mechanical properties such as durability and flexibility, providing sustainable alternatives for concrete, asphalt, composite materials, and paving blocks (Kek et al., 2024), further promoting environmental sustainability in the construction industry. This approach had fulfilled Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by aligning with SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production, by upcycling MP to construction materials such as paints, cement, plastic pipes, glass, etc. to reduce the usage of new materials. In addition, this approach aligns with SDG 14: Live Below Water by promoting the recycling of nylon line which is a type of ocean based plastic waste to be recycled into materials such as recycled bags, laundry bags which is reusable to reduce the disposing of ocean based MP waste into the ocean that potentially cause harmful to the aquatic life.

334



Figure 3: MP waste transform to value added products

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, this review highlights the urgent need to address MP pollution, which poses a significant threat to environmental health. By exploring sampling sources, identification methods, and potential transformations into wealth, the review emphasizes the importance of understanding the distribution and impact of MPs across ecosystems. By identifying various sampling sources, including water bodies, sediments, soils, and air, researchers can better understand the extent and distribution of MPs across different ecosystems. In this context, passive and active sampling methods offer valuable tools for collecting representative MP samples. Advanced identification approaches, ranging from visual microscopy to advanced spectroscopic and imaging techniques, enable researchers to accurately characterize MPs. Despite notable advancements, challenges such as method standardization, detection limits, and distinguishing MPs from natural particles still persist. This review highlights the transformative potential of MP waste through recycling and upcycling initiatives. By converting MPs into valuable products such as graphene, batteries, biofuel, fibers and construction materials, researchers can mitigate pollution while creating economic opportunities. Future efforts should focus on standardizing methodologies, enhancing detection sensitivity, and advancing techniques for accurate identification. Collaborative research across disciplines is crucial to develop sustainable solutions that protect ecosystems, promote circular economy principles, and safeguard human health.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, UTM Zamalah Grant (Q.J130000.4551.00N04) provided for this study.

References

Alexander J., Barregard L., Bignami M., Ceccatelli S., Cottrill B., Dinovi M., Hoogenboom L., 2016, Presence of microplastics and nanoplastics in food, with particular focus on seafood, Efsa Journal, 14(6).

- Bai B., Wang W., Jin H., 2020, Experimental study on gasification performance of polypropylene (PP) plastics in supercritical water, Energy, 191, 116527.
- Chen G., Fu Z., Yang H., Wang J., 2020, An overview of analytical methods for detecting microplastics in the atmosphere, TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 130, 115981.
- Cui J., Chen C., Gan Q., Wang T., Li W., Zeng W., Lu Z. 2022, Indoor microplastics and bacteria in the atmospheric fallout in urban homes, Science of The Total Environment, 852, 158233.
- Dong M., She Z., Xiong X., Ouyang G., Luo Z., 2022, Automated analysis of microplastics based on vibrational spectroscopy: are we measuring the same metrics? Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 414(11), 3359-3372.
- Dris R., Gasperi J., Mirande C., Mandin C., Guerrouache M., Langlois V., Tassin B., 2017, A first overview of textile fibers, including microplastics, in indoor and outdoor environments, Environmental Pollution, 221, 453-458.
- Duis K., Coors A. 2016, Microplastics in the aquatic and terrestrial environment: sources (with a specific focus on personal care products), fate and effects, Environmental Sciences Europe, 28(1), 2.
- Hashim H.A., Jamian N.R., Yusuf S.Y., Naidu D., Paad K.M., Ishak N.F., 2023, Evaluation of Deposited Atmospheric Microplastic Characteristic within Malaysia Cities Airshed, Chemical Engineering Transactions, 106, 1105-1110.
- Huang D., Tao J., Cheng M., Deng R., Chen S., Yin L., Li R., 2021, Microplastics and nanoplastics in the environment: Macroscopic transport and effects on creatures, Journal of Hazardous Materials, 407, 124399.

- Jenner L. C., Sadofsky L. R., Danopoulos E., Rotchell J. M., 2021, Household indoor microplastics within the Humber region (United Kingdom): Quantification and chemical characterisation of particles present, Atmospheric Environment, 259, 118512.
- Karbalaei S., Golieskardi A., Hamzah H. B., Abdulwahid S., Hanachi P., Walker T. R., Karami A., 2019, Abundance and characteristics of microplastics in commercial marine fish from Malaysia. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 148, 5-15.
- Kek H.Y., Tan H., Othman M.H.D., Nyakuma B.B., Ho W.S., Sheng D.D.C.V., Wong, K.Y., 2024, Critical review on airborne microplastics: An indoor air contaminant of emerging concern, Environmental Research, 245, 118055.
- Kim J.-S., Lee H.-J., Kim S.-K., Kim H.-J., 2018, Global pattern of microplastics (MPs) in commercial food-grade salts: sea salt as an indicator of seawater MP pollution, Environmental science & technology, 52(21), 12819-12828.
- Li X., Peng Y., Deng Y., Ye F., Zhang C., Hu X., Zhang D., 2022, Recycling and Reutilizing Polymer Waste via Electrospun Micro/Nanofibers: A Review, Nanomaterials, 12(10), 1663, Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2079-4991/12/10/1663.
- Li Y., Shao L., Wang W., Zhang M., Feng X., Li W., Zhang, D., 2020, Airborne fiber particles: Types, size and concentration observed in Beijing, Science of The Total Environment, 705, 135967.
- Liao Z., Ji X., Ma Y., Lv B., Huang W., Zhu X., Dahlgren, R., 2021, Airborne microplastics in indoor and outdoor environments of a coastal city in Eastern China, Journal of hazardous materials, 417, 126007.
- Marrone A., La Russa M., Randazzo L., La Russa D., Cellini E., Pellegrino D., 2021, Microplastics in the Center of Mediterranean: Comparison of the Two Calabrian Coasts and Distribution from Coastal Areas to the Open Sea, International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18, 10712.
- Mohamed Nor N.H., Kooi M., Diepens N.J., Koelmans A.A., 2021, Lifetime accumulation of microplastic in children and adults, Environmental science & technology, 55(8), 5084-5096.
- O'Brien S., Okoffo E.D., O'Brien J.W., Ribeiro F., Wang X., Wright S.L., Albarracin, R. 2020, Airborne emissions of microplastic fibres from domestic laundry dryers, Science of The Total Environment, 747, 141175.
- Pacchioni G. 2022, Graphene from plastic waste makes cars greener, Nature Reviews Materials, 7(6), 425-425.
- Picó Y., Barceló D., 2019, Analysis and Prevention of Microplastics Pollution in Water: Current Perspectives and Future Directions, ACS Omega, 4(4), 6709-6719.
- Ryan P. G., 2015, A brief history of marine litter research, Marine anthropogenic litter, 1-25.
- Shao L., Li Y., Jones T., Santosh M., Liu P., Zhang M., BéruBé, K., 2022, Airborne microplastics: A review of current perspectives and environmental implications, Journal of Cleaner Production, 347, 131048.
- Sol D., Solís-Balbín C., Laca A., Laca A., Díaz M., 2023, A standard analytical approach and establishing criteria for microplastic concentrations in wastewater, drinking water and tap water, Science of The Total Environment, 899, 165356.
- Soltani N.S., Taylor M.P., Wilson S.P. 2021, Quantification and exposure assessment of microplastics in Australian indoor house dust, Environmental Pollution, 283, 117064.
- Tan H., Othman M.H.D., Chong W.T., Kek H.Y., Wong, S.L., Nyakuma, B.B., Wong, K.Y., 2024, Turning plastics/microplastics into valuable resources? Current and potential research for future applications, Journal of Environmental Management, 356, 120644.
- Tian Z., Dietze V., Sommer F., Baum A., Kaminski U., Sauer J., Gieré, R., 2017, Coarse-particle passivesampler measurements and single-particle analysis by transmitted light microscopy at highly frequented motorways, Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 17(8), 1939-1953.
- Wojnowska-Baryła I., Bernat K., Zaborowska M.,2022, Plastic Waste Degradation in Landfill Conditions: The Problem with Microplastics, and Their Direct and Indirect Environmental Effects, Int J Environ Res Public Health, 19(20).
- Xiong X., Wu C., Elser J.J., Mei Z., Hao Y. 2019, Occurrence and fate of microplastic debris in middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River–from inland to the sea, Science of The Total Environment, 659, 66-73.
- Xiang Z., You F., 2024, From sustainable macro debris chemical recycling to microplastic reclamation: Overview, research challenges, and outlook, Journal of Cleaner Production, 454, 142281.
- Xuehong Y., Jianbo W., Qingming S., Zhenming X., 2024, Integrated assessment of economic benefits and environmental impact in waste glass closed-loop recycling for promoting glass circularity, Journal of Cleaner Production, 444, 141155.
- Zhang Y., Wang K., Chen W., Ba Y., Khan K., Chen W., Xu, L., 2022, Effects of land use and landscape on the occurrence and distribution of microplastics in soil, China, Science of The Total Environment, 847, 157598.
- Ziani K., Ioniță-Mîndrican C.B., Mititelu M., Neacșu S.M., Negrei C., Moroșan E., Preda, O.T., 2023, Microplastics: A Real Global Threat for Environment and Food Safety: A State of the Art Review. Nutrients, 15(3).